



Assessment Policy

Aim

The aim of this policy is to ensure a consistent approach to assessment across Samuel Whitbread Academy (SWA). Planned assessments that enable all teaching staff to produce reliable and consistent data for cohorts; that supports our students to achieve their full potential and, clearly links to and informs stakeholders of student performance in all subjects.

This document will offer guidelines and procedures to ensure that Assessment for Learning is at the heart of all that we do. The policy should be read alongside our Marking & Feedback Policy.

Definition of terms

Assessment

In this policy, the term 'Assessment' is based on the Black & William (1998) definition:

"Assessment refers to all those activities undertaken by teachers and by their students in assessing themselves which provide information to be used as feedback to modify the teaching and learning activities in which they are engaged."

Assessment of Learning (AoL) -Summative assessment

AoL is any assessment which summarises where learners are at a given point in time – it provides a snapshot of what has been learned (both in terms of attainment and achievement).

Assessment for Learning (AfL) - Formative assessment

'Assessment for learning is the process of seeking and interpreting evidence for use by learners and their teachers to decide where the learners are in their learning, where they need to go and how best to get there.'


Assessment Reform Group, 2002

Assessment as Learning - Student-led assessment

Assessment practices that empower the student to reflect on and improve their own learning strategies and understanding through student self or peer assessment activities.

Assessment at SWA

1. Planned assessments should produce data that is to be collected and used for a specific purpose. This purpose should be transparent for all stakeholders and may include evaluating the effectiveness of the curriculum; measuring student performance to support with teaching and learning; advise and /or celebrate students on their performance, or to support with the planning for additional interventions.
2. Planned assessments are to review knowledge and skills and allow for quantitative and qualitative data analysis.
3. Planned assessments are to lead to evaluation: what a student can and cannot do, and therefore support student progress.
4. Planned assessments (AoL, AfL and student led assessments) should be present in all schemes of work.
5. Planned assessments should take a range of forms where applicable: written responses, performance, oral communication or portfolios of evidence.
6. Planned assessments should be designed around the following questions:
 - a. What information do I need?
 - b. What would be the best way to get this information?
 - c. Using my chosen assessment method, would student performance indicate clearly the achievement I want to know?
 - d. Will I have enough information to draw accurate conclusions?
 - e. Will this be useful to me and my students? How?



7. Planned assessments should follow a clear learning cycle: gather, analyse, reflect, implement and decide.
8. Planned assessments should consider the impact of self and peer assessed work and opportunities given to reflect and evaluate own work.
9. The monitoring of assessment practices and the use of data will be undertaken by the Senior team alongside Heads of Department.
10. Heads of Department are responsible for devising an assessment schedule pertinent to their

own curriculum area. The assessment schedule should ensure that assessment activities cover the above criteria.

- Assessment outcomes will be shared with students and parents/carers throughout the academic year, both informally in-class and via our Progress Review Evenings and reports home (see calendar on website for dates).

Awarding KS3 Current Working Levels:

Students in Year 9 are assessed using SWA’s curriculum related expectations (CREs). In each of their subjects, a variety of summative and formative assessment methods are used. Students are awarded current working levels based on the outcome of these assessments, classwork, homework and practical projects where relevant and assigned one of three categories.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Mastering = Exceptional understanding and progress in the subject. | Securing = Expected understanding and progress in the subject. | Developing = Working towards enhancing understanding, and gaining progress in the subject. |
|---|---|---|

Awarding KS4 & KS5 Working at Grades and Predicted grades:

Students in Key Stage 4 and Key Stage 5 are assessed against the relevant subject specification and using exam board mark scheme. In each of their subjects, a variety of summative and formative assessment methods are used. Students are awarded holistic working at grades based on in class performance / assessments and mock examination / IPE results. Departments also consider home learning, coursework and NEAs where relevant.

A predicted grade is awarded by the subject teacher. It is a holistic grade and outlines what the subject teacher thinks a student will achieve at the **end** of the course given their current effort and progress.

Awarding Attitude to Learning & Independent Learning grades for reports:

The below table outlines how Attitude to Learning (ATL) and Independent Learning (IL) grades are awarded by subject teachers.

| | ATL Descriptor (In class) | IL Descriptor (Out of class) |
|----------------|---|---|
| 1 Excellent | Working beyond expectation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student is self-motivated and resilient • Student makes regular, positive contributions to class discussions • Student takes pride in their work • Student is dedicated to improving their subject knowledge and understanding | Working beyond expectation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Always completes preparation, homework or review work to an exceptional standard • Shows a continued dedication to their subject beyond the limitations of the specification, through wider reading, research and additional activities. |
| 2 Good | Working at expectation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student is mostly motivated and resilient • Student is keen to make positive contributions to class discussions, but may need support at times • Student mostly takes pride in their work • Student is keen to improve their subject knowledge and understanding | Working at expectation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Always completes preparation, homework or review work to a good quality • Regularly shows a commitment to their subject beyond the limitations of the specification, through wider reading, research and additional activities. |

| | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| 3 Requires Improvement | Working below expectation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student is inconsistent in their motivation • Student rarely contributes to class discussions and can be a distracting influence • Student isn't always equipped and organised • Student is often unfocused and needs reminding of class expectations | Working below expectation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Usually completes preparation, homework or review work, however the quality can be varied • Shows little interest in completing activities that will enrich their subject knowledge and understanding. |
| 4 Limiting | Working significantly below expectation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student lacks motivation in lessons and frequently fails to meet deadlines or homework. • Student makes very little effort in lessons and often disrupts learning for other peers. • Student lacks resilience and is hostile when learning behaviours are challenged. | Working significantly below expectation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rarely completes preparation, homework or review work • Shows no interest in completing activities that will enrich their subject knowledge and understanding. |

Monitoring and Evaluating

Monitoring and evaluating the Assessment Policy will be carried out at several levels.

- Senior Leadership Team
- Heads of Department & subject leaders
- Governors.

Implementation and Review

This policy will be circulated to all Academy staff and published on the Academy websites for parent/carer information. The policy will be reviewed two yearly.

Author and Date

Vice Principal - Teaching & Learning - Charlotte Linehan (May 2023)

Reviewed CML – May 2023

Reviewed IAB – January 2026

Date ratified by Governors – February 2026

Next review date – July 2026